

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS  
MARSHALL DIVISION**

NAVICO INC. and NAVICO HOLDING  
AS,

Plaintiffs,

v.

GARMIN INTERNATIONAL, INC.,  
and GARMIN USA, INC.,

Defendants.

No. 2:16-CV-0190-JRG-RSP

**VERDICT FORM**

In answering the following questions and filling out this Verdict Form, you are to follow all of the instructions I have given you in the Court's charge. Your answer to each question must be unanimous. Some of the questions contain legal terms that are defined and explained in detail in the Jury Instructions. Please refer to the Jury Instructions if you are unsure about the meaning or usage of any legal term that appears in the questions below. As used herein, "Navico" or "Plaintiff" refers to Plaintiffs Navico Inc. and Navico Holding AS. As used herein, "Garmin" or "Defendant" refers to Defendants Garmin International, Inc. and Garmin USA, Inc. As used herein, "the '022 Patent" means U.S. Patent No. 9,223,022 and "the '168 Patent" means U.S. Patent No. 9,244,168.

**QUESTION 1**

Did Navico prove by a preponderance of the evidence that Garmin has directly or indirectly infringed any of the asserted claims of either the '168 Patent or the '022 Patent?

Answer "Yes" or "No" in the space provided.

Answer: Yes

ANSWER THE FOLLOWING QUESTION ONLY IF YOU HAVE ANSWERED YES TO QUESTION 1. OTHERWISE, DO NOT ANSWER THIS QUESTION.

**QUESTION 2**

Did Navico prove by a preponderance of the evidence that Garmin's infringement was willful?

Answer "Yes" or "No" in the space provided.

Answer: Yes

ANSWER THE FOLLOWING QUESTION ONLY IF YOU HAVE ANSWERED YES TO QUESTION 1. OTHERWISE, DO NOT ANSWER THIS QUESTION.

**QUESTION 3**

Did Garmin prove by clear and convincing evidence that any of the following claims of the following patents are invalid?

**Answer "Yes" (Invalid) or "No" (Not Invalid) for each Claim.**

**'022 Patent**

Claim 1 no

Claim 35 no

Claim 36 no

Claim 37 no

Claim 48 no

Claim 53 no

Claim 54 no

Claim 56 no

Claim 59 no

Claim 61 no

Claim 66 no

Claim 67 no

**'168 Patent**

Claim 1 no

Claim 8 no

Claim 10 no

Claim 12 no

Claim 13 no

Claim 18 no

ANSWER THE FOLLOWING QUESTION ONLY IF YOU HAVE ANSWERED "YES" TO QUESTION 1 AND "NO" AS TO ONE OR MORE OF THE CLAIMS LISTED IN QUESTION 3. OTHERWISE, DO NOT ANSWER THIS QUESTION.

**QUESTION 4**

What sum of money if now paid in cash do you find by a preponderance of the evidence would reasonably compensate Navico for Garmin's infringement?

Total Amount: \$ 38,755,000<sup>80</sup>

*As to any sum you may have awarded above, how much of that amount is intended to represent the following:*

I. A Lump Sum

Amount: \$ \_\_\_\_\_

**OR**

A Running Royalty

Amount: \$ 9,105,000<sup>82</sup>

*You may not award a Lump Sum and a Running Royalty. Your answer here must be one but not the other.*

II. Lost Profits as to past sales

Amount: \$ 29,650,000<sup>00</sup>

*Any numbers listed in Part I and II must equal the Total Amount awarded in Question 4 above.*

Signed: \_\_\_\_\_  
Jury Foreperson

Date: September 8, 2017